



## PRELIMINARY RESULTS OF LIFE + FRAMME, ON FOREST FIRES IMPACTS

D. MAVROGIORGOS<sup>B,C</sup>, F. A. PAVLIDIS<sup>C</sup>, S.KARANASIOU<sup>C</sup>, E. EVERGETIS<sup>A</sup>,  
<sup>B</sup>, AND G. ARAPIS<sup>C,+</sup>

- A. Laboratory of Chemistry of the Agricultural University of Athens, Iera Odos 75, Athens 11855  
B. ELLINIKI ETAIRIA Society for the Environment & Cultural Heritage, Tripodon 28, Athens 10558  
C. Laboratory of Ecology & Environmental Sciences of the Agricultural University of Athens, Iera Odos 75, Athens 11855  
+ Corresponding Author: [mani@aua.gr](mailto:mani@aua.gr), Tel. +30 210 5294465, Fax +30 210 5294462

### 1. Introduction

Present work was inspired by a rather unfavourable motivation, the 2008 forest fires in Greece. This catastrophe claimed in total 84 lives and burnt 268.834 hectares (ha), almost 5% of the country (1). Though Greek society was shocked, soon afterwards, a public discussion begun on reforestation and habitat's restoration efforts. First estimates, reported 10 to 15 years as the natural reforestation period (2).

Since forest fires are a common phenomenon, enhancement of natural reforestation is widely practiced in Greece. For that reason three main interventions are facilitated: Plantings, Anti-Erosion measures and Log-Cutting. A literature review on the efficiency and productivity of those interventions revealed significant knowledge gaps, or even adverse impacts (3,4,5,6,7,8,9,11), while at the same time critical fire impacts on soil remained untreated (12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18).

LIFE08 NAT/GR/000533 project (19), entitled "Fire RestorAtion Methodology for MEDiterranean Forests – environmental safety & sustainability of 4 interventions in the Rhodes NATURA 2000 site FRAMME", was developed in order to fill those gaps. For this purpose two traditional interventions and two new innovative, implicating the re-use of municipal wastewater, were combined in a 4X4 experimental plan that questioned their safety, efficiency and sustainability (19).

Herbal indicators were chosen in order to monitor the interventions' efficiency and sustainability. The relevant review revealed extensive research of fire impacts on *fauna* biodiversity (20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25), habitat restoration (3, 4, 10, 26) and productivity (27, 28), but rather scarce reports of fire impacts in *flora* biodiversity.

This paper presents the indicators definition and their accounting methodology, simultaneously with the first complete survey results. Those indicators are meant to define the alteration of the herbal biodiversity status, in the level of species, as a result of the Rhodes 2008 forest-fire. Therefore this current work communicates for the first time, the direct impact of an extensive forest fire on *flora* biodiversity.

## 2. Methodology

The total burnt Natura 2000 GR4210005 site, escalating to 2,900 ha (2), was defined as the area of interest. Cataloguing of the herbal biodiversity in this area was based in Carlstrom's work (29) and was crosschecked by other related flora's (30, 31). Identification of the potentially present *taxa*, was performed by overlapping the area of interest outline, with Calstrom's distribution maps. Confirmation of a *taxon* presence in the area of interest was secured by the inclusion of other ecological factors, such as habitat, endemism and scarcity in the island.

The first field survey was conducted during 2011, three years after the fire, in three consequent visits during spring, summer and autumn and covered at total 64 ha, almost 2,2% of the area of interest. The study area was fragmented in 4 parcels, each including 16 plots of 1 ha, distributed evenly in the forest-fire perimeter zone. Field visits enumerated the following 3 indicators:

- **Reforestation:** This indicator investigates the reforestation dynamic and is expressed as the relevant forest-tree seedlings per ha. Randomized sampling within each plot performed the enumeration of this indicator. Sampling implicated the repetitive application of a, 1 m<sup>2</sup>, rope frame and consequently counting of the seedlings. Estimation of each plot seedling density was based on those samplings escalating from 5 to 12 for each plot. Final indicator enumeration is provided by the following formula:

$$R_1 = a/b$$

a= Total number of seedlings in a given area

b= Total ha of the given area

- **Land Coverage:** This indicator investigates the vegetative dynamics and is expressed as the percent of permanent vegetative land cover, within a given area. Randomized sampling within each plot performed the enumeration of this indicator. Sampling implicated the repetitive application of a, 1 m<sup>2</sup>, rope frame and consequently counting of the small shrubs. Estimation of each plot's land coverage was based on those samplings, escalating from 5 to 12 for each plot, and original counting of the perimeter of the plantations. Final indicator enumeration is provided by the following formula:

$$L_1 = c+d$$

c= % of large shrubs land cover per ha

d= % of large shrubs land cover per ha

This indicator implicates the collection of additional data on height and perimeter of each large shrub and population density for each small shrub *taxon*, which are not discussed herein.

- **Floral Biodiversity:** This indicator investigates the biodiversity dynamics and is expressed as the absolute number of herbal *taxa* per ha. Extensive observation and collection within each plot performed the enumeration of this indicator. Photographs and herbaria were used complementary in order to document those observations. Enumeration of each plot's biodiversity indicator was based on those observations, which covered the total study area, thus 64 ha. Final indicator enumeration is provided by the following formula:

$$H_1 = e/f$$

e= Total number of *taxa* in a given area

f= Total ha of the given area

The development of a remote sensing system utilizing satellite data is also on-going, in order to complement and organize field observations.

### 3. Preliminary Results & Discussion

The results presented herein are focussed in three issues, the biodiversity loss as a result of the 2008 fire, the reforestation dynamic in the same area and the efficiency of the restoration interventions.

#### 3.1. Biodiversity Loss

Of critical importance for this subject is the pre-fire floral biodiversity wealth of the study area. FRAMME's Biodiversity Study (32) estimated the total potentially present *taxa* in the area of interest to 503. This number is strongly reduced to 379, when only the confirmed *taxa* are accounted. The additional exclusion of endemic and/or of limited distribution *taxa* further reduces this number to 261. This late number is considered a safe estimate of the total *taxa* present in the study area. The total number of *taxa* observed in the area was 105 (33).

Table 1: Pre & Post Fire Herbal Biodiversity Indicator

	Area of Interest	Area of study
H <sub>I1</sub>	0.13	4.08
H <sub>I2</sub>	0.03	1.64

H<sub>I1</sub>: Herbal Biodiversity Indicator 2008  
H<sub>I2</sub>: Herbal Biodiversity Indicator 2011

Those results suggest that almost 72.3% of the preceding the 2008 fire biodiversity was lost, in the area of interest. When this indicator is corrected for reference to the area of study this loss is reduced to 59.8%, thus still accounting to more than half of the *taxa* originally grown in the area. Therefore a significant impact of Forest-fires is poorly described when impact evaluation is limited to the accounting of ha of habitats lost.

#### 3.2. Reforestation Dynamic

Regeneration of Pine trees (*Pinus brutia*), was the dominant figure for the enumeration of this indicator. Enumeration is presented for the four major experimental parcels for simplicity reasons.

Table 2: Post Fire Reforestation Indicator

	A	B	C	D
R <sub>I</sub>	1,281.3	93.1	125.0	90.6

Those results suggest that while parcel B, C and D could be considered having homologous reforestation dynamics, parcel A presents significant difference. Indeed this difference exists, as parcel A is the only one in close contact with unburned forest islets.

The seedling densities observed dictate that plantation interventions are of immediate need in order to reach a safe number of seedlings. Such a number is not provided so far as previews research suggest seedling per ha varying from 500 to 5.000. Though those results also suggest that this need is greatly diminished for areas in close proximity to unburned forest islets.

### 3.3. Log-Barriers Efficiency

Construction of log-barriers was the only restoration intervention applied in the area of study, during the winter of years 2008-2009. This application being rather fragmented allowed the comparative assessment of their efficiency in the terms of Reforestation Dynamics and Land Coverage. From this assessment is excluded parcel A due to its profound heterogeneity, previously highlighted.

Table 3: Log-barriers Efficiency

	B		C		D	
	1	2	1	2	1	2
R <sub>I</sub>	102.5	83.8	142.5	107.5	83.8	97.5
L <sub>I</sub>	66.5%	83.6%	89.9%	75.4%	80.4%	74.5%

1: Plots with Log-Barriers

2: Plots without Log-Barriers

Reforestation Indicator deviation in parcels B and C indicates a significant beneficiary impact on pine tree seedlings as those are increased by 22.3% and 32.6% respectively. But in parcel D the seedling in plots with log-barriers are decreased by 14.1%.

Land Coverage Indicator also presents controversial results. To be more specific this indicator documents the beneficiary impact of log-barriers for parcels C and D, where land coverage is increased by 14.5% and 5.9% respectively, but not for parcel B, where land coverage is decreased by 17.1% in plots with log-barriers. Those controversial results are not able to draw a clear conclusion on log-barriers restoration efficiency.

### 4. Acknowledgements

The present study was made possible mostly because of the European Commission's LIFE+ Unit support and EU funding reaching €786.365 (Total Budget €1.636.750).

Also the Hellenic Ministry for Environment, Energy & Climate Change, via its Green Fund, has contributed significantly, with €123.800, to the project's financing.

**More information available on the FRAMME project website:**  
<http://www.ellet.gr/framme>

### 5. References

1. [http://ec.europa.eu/echo/civil\\_protection/civil/forestfires\\_el\\_2007.htm](http://ec.europa.eu/echo/civil_protection/civil/forestfires_el_2007.htm)
2. WWF Greece (2008) «Ecological Assessment of the July 2008 fire in Rhodes», Athens: September 2008 (in Greek)
3. Barberis A., Dettori S. and Filigheddu M.R. (2003), Management problems in Mediterranean cork oak forests: post-fire recovery, *Journal of Arid Environments*, **54**, 565-569
4. Beghin R., Lingua E., Garbarino M., Lonati M., Bovio G., Motta R., and Marzano R. (2010), Pinus sylvestris forest regeneration under different post-fire restoration practices in the northwestern Italian Alps, *Ecological Engineering*, **36**, 1365-1372. Bisson M., Fornaciai A., Coli A., Mazzarini F., Pareschi M. T. (2008), The Vegetation Resilience After Fire (VRAF) index: Development, implementation and an illustration from central Italy, *International Journal of Applied Earth Observation and Geoinformation* **10**, 312-329.

5. Bradshaw S.D., Dixon K.W., Hopper S.D., Lambers H. and Turner S.R. (2011), Little evidence for fire-adapted plant traits in Mediterranean climate regions, *Trends in Plant Science*, **16**, 69-76.
6. Buhk C., Götzenberger L., Wesche K., Sánchez Gómez P. and Hensen I. (2006), Post-fire regeneration in a Mediterranean pine forest with historically low fire frequency, *Acta Oecologica*, **30**, 288-298.
7. Capitanio R & Carcalillet C, 2008, Post-fire Mediterranean vegetation dynamics and diversity: A discussion of succession models, *Forest Ecology and Management*, **255**, 431-439.
8. Castro J., Moreno-Rueda G. and Hodar J.A. (2010), Experimental Test of Postfire Management in Pine Forests: Impact of Salvage Logging versus Partial Cutting and Nonintervention on Bird–Species Assemblages, *Conservation Biology*, **24**, 810-819.
9. Driscoll D.A., Lindenmayer D.B., Bennett A.F., Bode M., Bradstock R.A., Cary G.J., Clarke M.F., Dexter N., Fensham R., Friend G., Gill M., James S., Kay G., Keith D.A., MacGregor C., Russell-Smith J., Salt D., Watson J.E.M., Williams R.J. and York A. (2010), Fire management for biodiversity conservation: Key research questions and our capacity to answer them, *Biological Conservation*, **143**, 1928-1939.
10. Espelta J. M., Retana J., Habrouk A. (2003), An economic and ecological multi-criteria evaluation of reforestation methods to recover burned *Pinus nigra* forests in NE Spain, *Forest Ecology and Management*, **180**, 185-198.
11. Fyllas N M, Phillips O L, Kunin W E, Matsinos Y G, Troumbis A I, 2007, Development and parameterization of a general forest gap dynamics simulator for the North-eastern Mediterranean Basin (GREEK FOREST SPECIES), *Ecological Modeling*, **204**, 419-456.
12. Glenn N.F., and Finley C.D., (2010), Fire and vegetation type effects on soil hydrophobicity and infiltration in the sagebrush-steppe: I. Field analysis, *Journal of Arid Environments*, **74**, 653-659.
13. Gomez-Gonzalez S., Sierra-Almeida A., Cavieres L.A. (2008), Does plant-derived smoke affect seed germination in dominant woody species of the Mediterranean matorral of central Chile?, *Forest Ecology and Management*, **255**, 1510-1515.
14. González-Ochoa A.I, López-Serrano F.R. and de las Heras J. (2004), Does post-fire forest management increase tree growth and cone production in *Pinus halepensis*?, *Forest Ecology and Management*, **188**, 235-247.
15. González-Pelayo O., Andreu V., Campo J., Gimeno-García E. and Rubio J.L. (2006), Hydrological properties of a Mediterranean soil burned with different fire intensities, *CATENA*, **V68**, 186-193.
16. González-Pelayo O., Andreu V., Gimeno-García E. Campo J., and Rubio J.L. (2010), Rainfall influence on plot-scale runoff and soil loss from repeated burning in a Mediterranean-shrub ecosystem, Valencia, Spain, *Geomorphology*, **118**, 444-452.
17. Vergnoux A., Di Rocco R., Domeizel M., Guiliano M., Doumenq P. and Théraulaz F. (2011a), Effects of forest fires on water extractable organic matter and humic substances from Mediterranean soils: UV–vis and fluorescence spectroscopy approaches, *Geoderma*, **160**, 434-443.
18. Vergnoux A., Malleret L., Asia L., Doumenq P. and Théraulaz F. (2011b), Impact of forest fires on PAH level and distribution in soils, *Environmental Research*, **111**, 193-198.

19. Mavrogiorgos D., Pavlidis F. A., Evergetis E., Theodoridis N., Balatsouka K. and Arapis G., 2011, "A novel post-fire management policy tool – the case of the Attaviros natura 2000 site in Rhodes (GR4210005)", Oral presentation in the 12th International Conference on Environmental Science and Technology (CEST 2011) Volume of abstracts, pg.148.
20. Banks S.C, Dujardin M., McBurney L., Blair D., Barker M. and Lindenmayer D.B. (2011), Starting points for small mammal population recovery after wildfire: recolonisation or residual populations?, *Oikos*, **120**, 26–37.
21. Hirowatari T. and Makihara H., (2007), Effects of fires on butterfly assemblages in lowland dipterocarp forest in East Kalimantan, *Entomological Science*, **10**, 113–127.
22. Hutto R.L. (2006), Toward Meaningful Snag-Management Guidelines for Postfire Salvage Logging in North American Conifer Forests, *Conservation Biology*, **20**, 984–993.
23. Moretti M., Obrist M.K., and Duelli P. (2004), Arthropod biodiversity after forest fires: winners and losers in the winter fire regime of the Southern Alps, *Ecography*, **27**, 173–186.
24. Parr C.L. and Chown S.L. (2003), Burning issues for conservation: A critique of faunal fire research in Southern Africa, *Austral Ecology*, **28**, 384–395.
25. Sanz-Aguilar A., Anadón J.D., Giménez A., Ballestar R., Graciá E. and Oro D. (2011), Coexisting with fire: The case of the terrestrial tortoise *Testudo graeca* in mediterranean shrublands, *Biological Conservation*, **144**, 1040-1049.
26. Vega J.A., Fernandez C., Perez-Gorostiag P. and Fonturbel T. (2008), The influence of fire severity, serotiny, and post-fire management on *Pinus pinaster* Ait. recruitment in three burnt areas in Galicia (NW Spain), *Forest Ecology and Management*, 256, 1596-1603.
27. Alhamad, Mohammad N. ; Alrababah, Mohammad A. ; Gharaibeh, Mamoun A.; 2012, Impact of burning and fertilization on dry Mediterranean grassland productivity and diversity, *Acta Oecologica*, 40, pp 19-26
28. Stankov Jovanovic, V.P. ; Ilic, M.D. ; Markovic, M.S. ; Mitic, V.D. ; Nikolic Mandic, S.D. ; Stojanovic, G.S.; 2011, Wild fire impact on copper, zinc, lead and cadmium distribution in soil and relation with abundance in selected plants of Lamiaceae family from Vidlic Mountain (Serbia), *Chemosphere*, vol. 84 (11), pp 1584-1591
29. Carlstrom A. (1987). A survey of the Flora and Phytogeography of Rodhos, Simi, Tilos and the Marmaris Peninsula (SE GREECE, SW TURKEY), Lund University, pp 302, ISBN 91-7970-096-1.
30. Davis P.H. (1982) Flora of Turkey and the East Aegean Islands. Edinburgh University Press, 9 vol.
31. Georghiou K. & Delipetrou P. (2010). Patterns and traits of the endemic plants of Greece, *Botanical Journal of the Linnean Society*, 162, pp 130-422.
32. Chorianopoulos N., 2010, A contribution to the biodiversity status of Rhodes, Athens, <http://www.ellet.gr/framme/>
33. FRAMME First Field Report, <http://www.ellet.gr/framme/>